

November 5, 1999

Jeff Ottesen, Statewide Planning Chief Alaska Dept. of Transportation & Public Facilities Juneau, Alaska 998 11

Subject: DOT&PF's Southwest Alaska Regional Transportation Plan

Dear Jeff:

We are writing to express our interest and concerns about the DOT&PF's Southwest Alaska Regional Transportation Plan ("SWARTP"). We have reviewed the "Description of Alternatives - Technical Memorandum (Aug. '99). Based on this review, it is clear to us that much remains to be done to understand and respond to Bristol Bay's regional transportation needs.

In Bristol Bay's case, the SWARTP's participation process simply has not succeeded. By relying on the Southwest Alaska Municipal Corporation (SWAMC) meetings outside the region for public input, you have over-looked the west side of Bristol Bay. There are 29 villages in Bristol Bay, and you were successful in connecting with the 20 villages which are incorporated into two organized boroughs: the Lake & Peninsula and the Bristol Bay boroughs. However, you almost totally missed the remaining 9 Bristol Bay villages--the "Left-Out 9."

Four of the five most-populated Bristol Bay villages are included in the Left-Out 9: Dillingham, Manokotak, New Stuyahok, and Togiak. Of the 7,700 permanent residents in Bristol Bay, these four villages alone account for over half (4,000) of Bristol Bay's entire population. The remaining 5 villages of the Left-Out 9 account for another 700 Bristol Bay residents, meaning that over 6 1% of the Bristol Bay residents have not been adequately consulted in a process that will impact them for the next 20 years.

Many key Bristol Bay organizations are also virtually unaware of the status of the regional plan, much less that the plan is nearing completion. For example, there have been no formal contacts with the Bristol Bay Native Corporation (BBNC), which owns 3 million acres of subsurface rights in the region. We've contacted many Bristol Bay organizations over the last few weeks to discuss the SWARTP. The City of Dillingham's experience relative to the SWARTP is typical of many organizations in Bristol Bay: the City was aware that the project was launched several years ago, but it has had no meaningful contact with the process since then.

To remedy the situation, we are asking you for two sets of actions:

- (1) Improve Participation Process. Set up a process that will actively involve all local interests in the planning project. We would be happy to provide you with a list of regional contacts. The "cc" list at the end of this letter is a good start. This renewed participative effort might culminate in a presentation by the DOT&PF of a set of revised alternatives at BBNC's annual Village Leadership Workshop meeting this December 6th and 7th here in Anchorage. We typically receive 150 council (both city and village) and corporate leaders from most of Bristol Bay's 29 villages. Prior to your presentation, however, sufficient work with Bristol Bay interests must be completed to develop a set of better transportation alternatives (see below); and
- (2) Revisit the Alternatives. With few exceptions, the roads and ferry alternatives currently identified in the plan are unlikely to be implemented. Hundred-plus million dollar road projects linking sparely-populated rural communities were not ever seriously considered for construction--even during the era of bountiful state capital budgets. But if you include a system like this, you should also look at all of the possible village inter-connections. Ideally, we would like to see a set of realistic regional transportation projects that actually stand a chance of getting built, such as those focused on the options below:
  - Roads and road improvements in the vicinity of existing communities. These roads would meet two criteria: (1) they would be important to regional economic health (e.g., road links between a town and its airport or solid-waste landfill); and (2) they could eventually be used as sections of a more extensive regional road system (such as those identified in the SWARTP's current list of alternatives on the east side);
  - Improvements to airports, including the addition of cross-wind runways, runway extensions to accommodate larger aircraft, and terminal enhancements. Our regional health organization would like to see 5,000-foot runways accessible from every community, which would cut precious time off medi-vats to Anchorage. Achieving this might require a combination of airport enhancements and road links;
  - Inter-village roads construction, several of which are identified in the current alternatives. We propose that more alternatives be considered, particularly in the Left-Out 9 villages on the west side of Bristol Bay; and
  - Partnerships with other road-building organizations. The BIA, PHS, and the DEC's Village Safe Water program are currently building the most new roads in the Bristol Bay region, and often at a higher incremental cost than might be incurred by the State. More work is needed to coordinate these projects with State projects.

Jeff Ottesen, DOT&PF SWARTP Page 3

After these new options are developed, the DOT&PF should work with Bristol Bay interests to prioritize the alternatives by considering the costs and the regional benefits of each alternative. This last, critical step is conspicuously absent from the current process.

We, in the Bristol Bay region, do not want to be at the wrong end of the State's transportation priorities-funding list for the next fifth of a century. To avoid that scenario, we believe a new set of alternatives is needed. These alternatives would focus on regional projects that stand a better chance of being constructed, thereby providing tangible benefits to many Bristol Bay residents. Neither the current set of alternatives, nor the current process are headed in that direction. We look forward to working with you to develop a plan that works for Bristol Bay.

Iliamna Natives, Ltd.

Sincerely,

Tom Hawkins

Tom Hawkins

Sr. Vice-President & Chief Operating Officer

## cc-list:

Gov. Tony Knowles Sen. Lyman Hoffman Rep. Carl Moses Rep. Mary Kapsner Chris Hladic, City of Dillingham Walt Wrede, L & P Borough Jeff Courier, B. Bay Borough Moses Kritz, City of Togiak Judi Nelson, BBEDC Dave McClure, BBHA Robert Clark, BBAHC Dugan Nielsen, BBNA Alaska Peninsula Corp. Aleknagik Natives, Ltd. Bay View, Inc. Becharof Corporation Chignik Lagoon Native Corp. Chignik River, Ltd. Choggiung, Ltd. Ekwok Natives, Ltd. Far West, Inc. Igiugig Natives, Ltd.

Kijik Corporation Koliganek Natives, Ltd. Levelock Natives, Ltd. Manokotak Natives, Ltd. Oceanside Corporation Olsonville, Inc. Paug-Vik, Inc. Pedro Bay Native Cot-p Pilot Point Native Corp. Saguyak, Inc. Stuyahok, Ltd. Togiak Natives, Ltd. Twin Hills Native Corp. Aleknagik Vill. Council Chignik Village Council Chignik Lag. Vill. Council Chignik Lake V. Council Clark Pt. Village Council Curyung Tribal Council Egegik Village Council Ekwok Village Council

Igiugig Village Council Iliamna Village Council Ivanof Bay Village Council Kokhanok Village Council Koliganek Village Council Levelock Village Council Manokotak Village Council Naknek Village Council New Stuyahok Vill. Council Newhalen Village Council Nondalton Village Council Pedro Bay Village Council Perryville Village Council Pilot Point Village Council Port Heiden Village Council South Naknek Village Council Togiak Traditional Council Twin Hills Traditional Council Ugashik Village Council